IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN RE ARUBA NETWORKS, INC. STOCKHOLDER LITIGATION	))	CONSOLIDATED C.A. No. 10765-VCL

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION, PROPOSED

SETTLEMENT AND SETTLEMENT HEARING

If You Were an Aruba Networks, Inc. Stockholder

Between March 1, 2015 and May 18, 2015

A Class Action Settlement May Affect Your Rights

Please read this notice carefully. This notice is about a proposed settlement of a lawsuit and contains important information. Your rights will be affected by this proposed settlement.

What is the purpose of this Notice?

The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of a proposed settlement (the "Settlement") of a class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the "Court") and of a hearing to be held before the Court on October 9, 2015 to determine, among other matters, whether the Settlement should be approved (the "Settlement Hearing"). The class action lawsuit is about the merger between Aruba Networks, Inc. ("Aruba" or the "Company") and Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") for $24.67 cash per share announced on March 2, 2015 (the "Merger"). The Settlement is on behalf of any and all record and beneficial owners of Aruba common stock during the period beginning on March 1, 2015, through May 18, 2015, including any and all of their respective successors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, and each of them, except for Defendants and their affiliates. These stockholders are called the "Class." If you are a member of the Class, this Notice will inform you of how, if you so choose, you may appear in the lawsuit or object to the Settlement.

The following recitation does not constitute findings of the Court and should not be understood as an expression of any opinion of the Court as to the merits of any claims or defenses by any of the parties. It is based on statements of the parties and is sent for the sole purpose of informing you of the existence of this action and of a hearing on a proposed settlement so that you may make appropriate decisions as to steps you may, or may not, wish to take in relation to this action.

What is the Lawsuit about?

On March 2, 2015, Aruba, HP, and Aspen Acquisition Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP ("Merger Sub"), announced that they had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 2, 2015 (the "Merger Agreement"), at a purchase price of $24.67 per share in cash (the "Merger Consideration") for each outstanding share of Aruba stock.

After the Merger was announced, seven class actions lawsuits were filed in the Court, claiming that Aruba's Board of Directors (Dominic P. Orr, Keerti Melkote, Bernard Guidon, Emmanuel Hernandez, Michael R. Kourey, Willem P. Roelandts, Juergen Rottler, and Daniel Warmenhoven, collectively, the "Individual Defendants") breached their fiduciary duties to stockholders in connection with the Merger and that HP and/or Aruba aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duties.[1] (Aruba, the Individual Defendants and HP together are called the "Defendants.") These actions were later consolidated into *In re Aruba Networks, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 10765-VCL (the "Action"). The stockholders who brought these cases are called the "Plaintiffs."

On March 17, 2015, Aruba filed its preliminary proxy statement on Schedule 14A (the "Preliminary Proxy") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). On April 3, 2015, the Company filed its definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A (the "Definitive Proxy") with the SEC and announced that the vote on the Merger was scheduled for May 1, 2015. The Definitive Proxy provided information about the Merger.

On April 1, 2015, a stockholder class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of a putative class of Aruba stockholders and naming as defendants Aruba, the board of directors of Aruba, Merger Sub, and HP (the "California Action").

On April 8, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their Motions for Expedited Proceedings and Preliminary Injunction.

---

[1] *Ballester v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10765-VCL (filed March 9, 2015); *Williams v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10778-VCL (filed March 11, 2015); *New Jersey Building Laborers Statewide Welfare Fund v. Orr, et al.*, C.A. No. 10786-VCL (filed March 12, 2015); *Maturi v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10798-VCL (filed March 16, 2015); *Adams v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10800-VCL (filed March 16, 2015); *Watts v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10802-VCL (filed March 17, 2015); *Litwin v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 10825-VCL (filed March 23, 2015).

On April 10, 2015, the Court entered an Order of Consolidation of the Related Actions and Appointment of Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Counsel, therein appointing (i) the law firms of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP and Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP as Co-Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs in the Action, and (ii) Andrews & Springer, LLC and Rigrodsky & Long, P.A., as Delaware Counsel (collectively, "Plaintiffs' Counsel"), and designating the Verified Amended Complaint filed by plaintiff Michael Adams on April 8, 2015 as the operative complaint. The operative complaint asked the Court to, among other things, stop the Merger unless stockholders were provided with additional information allegedly needed to make an informed choice about whether to tender their shares. The operative complaint alleged, among other things, that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to Aruba stockholders by agreeing to the transaction for allegedly inadequate consideration, by agreeing to allegedly preclusive deal protection devices in the Merger Agreement, and by failing to disclose allegedly material information in the Definitive Proxy.

Following the filing of the Plaintiffs' Motion for Expedited Proceedings, the parties reached agreement on the scope of expedited discovery and an expedited briefing and hearing schedule upon Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

On the morning of April 22, 2015, pursuant to the schedule agreed upon by the parties and entered by the Court, Plaintiffs filed their Opening Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

After expedited discovery and arm's-length negotiations, counsel to the parties in the Action reached an agreement-in-principle concerning the proposed settlement of the Action. Those extensive negotiations and discussions led to the execution of a memorandum of understanding (the "MOU") on the evening of April 22, 2015, in which Defendants agreed to provide additional information to Aruba stockholders (the "Supplemental Disclosures") before the close of the Merger.

On April 23, 2015, Aruba filed a Current Report on SEC Form 8-K, which contained the Supplemental Disclosures.

On May 1, 2015, the shareholders of Aruba approved the Merger. Further, on May 18, 2015, the Merger was consummated.

On July 1, 2015, the parties to the Action, by their counsel, executed the Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement and Release (the "Stipulation") providing for the Settlement of the Action described in this Notice, and submitted the Stipulation to the Court.

On July 17, 2015, the Court entered a scheduling order providing for, among other things, the scheduling of the Settlement Hearing; the preliminary certification of the Class; a stay of the Action pending a hearing on the proposed Settlement; and an injunction against the commencement or prosecution of any action, including the California Action, by any member of the Class asserting any of the claims subject to the Settlement of the Action.

Why did the Parties agree to settle the lawsuit?

Plaintiffs believe, based on proceedings to date, that their claims had substantial merit when filed and are settling these claims because they believe that the Disclosures will provide substantial value to the stockholders of Aruba. Plaintiffs believe that it is reasonable to pursue the Settlement based upon the terms and procedures outlined in the Stipulation.

On the basis of information available to them, including publicly available information, their investigation, and the discovery referenced herein, and consultation with their independent financial advisors, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel took into consideration the strengths and weaknesses of Plaintiffs' claims and determined that the Settlement terms herein are fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interest of the Class. Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel have been afforded the opportunity to conduct, and have completed, such discovery as Co-Lead Counsel believe is appropriate to enable them to confirm the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the terms of the Settlement.

Defendants deny, and continue to deny, that any of them have committed or have threatened to commit any violations of law or breaches of duty to the Plaintiffs, the Class or anyone else. Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, any and all allegations of wrongdoing, breach of fiduciary duties, liability, or damage whatsoever, including any and all allegations that Defendants committed or aided or abetted in the commission of any unlawful, improper, or wrongful act. Defendants maintain that they acted properly at all times and diligently and fully complied with their fiduciary duties, as well as their duties and obligations under federal and state law. Defendants are entering into this Settlement solely because the proposed settlement will eliminate the uncertainty, distraction, burden, expense and risk of litigation.

What are the terms of the Settlement?

The Settlement requires Aruba to provide more information to stockholders about the Merger. Specifically, the Defendants agreed to provide, and did provide, additional information to stockholders in a Current Report on SEC Form 8-K, which was filed with the SEC on April 23, 2015, and was and is available at:

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1173752/000119312515142529/d914846d8k.htm.

The additional information provided in the SEC Form 8-K is set forth below:

AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE

In the settlement of the referenced lawsuits as set forth in this Current Report on Form 8-K, Aruba agreed to make these amended and supplemental disclosures to the Definitive Proxy Statement. Without admitting in any way that the disclosures below are material or otherwise required by law, Aruba makes the following amended and supplemental disclosures:

The following supplemental disclosure is added to the end of the fourth full paragraph on page 39 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Background to the Merger":

During this meeting on September 1, 2014, Mr. Neri indicated that HP viewed Messrs. Orr and Melkote as integral to the value of Aruba's business and that HP expected that Messrs. Orr and Melkote would continue to operate the combined wireless business as a semi-autonomous business unit following a potential acquisition. Mr. Neri and other representatives of HP reiterated this expectation during subsequent meetings with Mr. Orr in September and October 2014.

The following supplemental disclosure replaces the first full paragraph on page 46 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Background to the Merger":

In the evening of February 28, 2015, representatives of HP presented Messrs. Orr and Melkote with initial draft employment agreements. Later on the night of March 1, 2015, after the Board of Directors approved the transaction, and into the early morning of March 2, 2015, representatives of Messrs. Orr and Melkote negotiated and entered into employment agreements with HP for each of Mr. Orr and Mr. Melkote.

The following supplemental disclosure is added to the end of the fifth full paragraph on page 42 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Background to the Merger":

Following the dinner meeting, representatives of HP communicated to Mr. Orr and Mr. Warmenhoven in separate conversations that HP did not want to negotiate pricing terms with Qatalyst Partners during this process, but that HP understood Qatalyst Partners would continue to act as a financial advisor to the Company in connection with the Merger.

The following supplemental disclosure replaces the third sentence in the third full paragraph on page 43 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Background to the Merger":

Representatives of Qatalyst Partners and Evercore each discussed with the Board of Directors financial aspects of the HP proposal, which discussion included representatives of Evercore discussing a comparison of the HP proposal to its preliminary valuation analysis of the Company based on the financial forecasts prepared by management and other customary valuation methodologies such as trading multiples.

The following supplemental disclosure replaces the third sentence in the sixth full paragraph on page 42 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Background to the Merger":

After discussion, the Board of Directors authorized the retention of Evercore Group L.L.C., which we refer to as "Evercore", based on its experience, reputation and other factors, including that it has no material commercial relationships with HP, that HP did not object when Evercore was mentioned by Aruba as a potential additional financial advisor for purposes of the negotiations and that the head of Evercore's newly formed West Coast technology banking group had previously been employed as Chairman of Barclays' technology banking group until 2014.

The following supplemental disclosure is added to the end of the fourth full paragraph on page 49 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Opinion of Evercore Group L.L.C.":

Evercore was not asked to render a fairness opinion to the Board in connection with the Merger.

The following supplemental disclosure replaces sub-bullet (b) in the second full paragraph on page 51 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Opinion of Qatalyst Partners LP":

(b) the implied net present value of a corresponding terminal value of Aruba, calculated by multiplying the estimated net operating profit after taxes ("NOPAT") (assuming a cash tax rate of 25%) in fiscal year 2020, based on the Management Projections, by a range of multiples of fully diluted enterprise value to next-twelve-months NOPAT of 11.0x to 16.0x, (such multiples corresponding to a range of implied perpetuity growth rates of 0.5% to 7.0%), and discounted to present value using the same range of discount rates used in item (a) above.

The following supplemental disclosure is added to the listing Selected Companies on the top of page 52 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Opinion of Qatalyst Partners LP":

Selected WLAN Vendors	CY2015E P/E Multiple
Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.	15.2x
Ruckus Wireless Inc.	25.8x
Aerohive Networks, Inc.	-
Meru Networks Inc.	-

Selected Pure Play (Narrowly Focused) Networking	CY2015E P/E Multiple
F5 Networks Inc.	18.2x
Arista Networks, Inc.	38.7x
Radware Ltd.	20.6x
A10 Networks, Inc.	-

Selected Traditional (Broad-Based) Networking	CY2015E P/E Multiple
Cisco Systems, Inc.	13.4x
Juniper Networks Inc.	14.5x
Brocade Communications Systems Inc.	13.4x.

The following supplemental disclosure replaces the chart at the bottom of page 52 of the Definitive Proxy Statement in the "Opinion of Qatalyst Partners LP":

Qatalyst Partners compared seven selected public company transactions in Aruba's industry announced since 2008 selected by Qatalyst Partners. These transactions are listed below:

Announcement Date	Target	Acquiror	LTM Revenue	NTM Revenue	LTM P/E	NTM P/E
12/15/2014	Riverbed Technology, Inc.	Thoma Bravo, LLC	3.3x	3.2x	20.5x	18.9x
4/15/2014	Motorola Solutions, Inc.[1]	Zebra Technologies Corporation	1.4x	-	-	-
12/9/2011	Blue Coat Systems, Inc.	Thoma Bravo, LLC	2.0x	2.0x	25.3x	28.4x
11/16/2010	Trapeze Networks, Inc.	Juniper Networks, Inc.	2.6x	-	-	-
11/11/2009	3Com Corporation	Hewlett-Packard Company	2.1x	2.1x	18.4x	25.5x
10/1/2009	Tandberg	Cisco Systems, Inc.	3.4x	3.1x	23.0x	21.2x
12/17/2008	Foundry Networks, Inc.	Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.	2.5x	2.4x	22.0x	24.3x

Note 1: Sale of Motorola Solution, Inc.'s enterprise assets.

When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

1. The Court will hold a hearing to decide if the Settlement will be approved. The Settlement Hearing will be held on October 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. in Court of Chancery Courthouse, 500 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. The Court will: (a) determine if certification of the Class should be made final; (b) determine whether Plaintiffs Nina Ballester, Ernest Liberti, Milton Bruce Williams, New Jersey Building Laborers Statewide Welfare Fund, Mohan Maturi, Michael Adams, Zachary Watts, and Harold Litwin should be certified as class representatives and Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel certified as Class Counsel; (c) determine whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Class; (d) determine whether an Order and Final Judgment ("Final Judgment") should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice on the merits and releasing the Settled Claims; (e) consider Plaintiffs' Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses; (f) hear and determine any objections to the Settlement or Plaintiffs' Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses; and (g) rule on such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

2. The Court reserves the right to change the date of the Settlement Hearing without further notice to the Class.

What are my legal rights?

As a member of the Class you can either do nothing or object to the Settlement if you disagree with any part of it. You can also hire your own lawyer, at your own cost, if you choose. If you want to object to the Settlement or Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, you may appear in person or by your attorney at the Settlement Hearing and present evidence or arguments against the Settlement. If you choose to appear, you must first

submit a statement of your objection to the Court fourteen (14) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing. Specifically you or your lawyer must file with the court and serve the lawyers listed below the following information: (a) a written notice of intention to appear; (b) a statement of your objections to any matters before the Court; and (c) evidence that you are a member of the Class as well as all documents or writing you want the Court to consider. Such filings shall be served by e-filing, hand delivery or overnight mail upon the following counsel:

Peter B. Andrews, Esq.
ANDREWS & SPRINGER, LLC
3801 Kennett Pike
Building C, Suite 305
Wilmington, Delaware 19807
(302) 504-4957

Brian D. Long, Esq.
RIGRODSKY & LONG, P.A.
2 Righter Parkway, Suite 120
Wilmington, Delaware 19803
(302) 295-5310

Delaware Counsel for Plaintiffs

Tamika Montgomery-Reeves, Esq.
Bradley D. Sorrels, Esq.
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 800
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 304-7600

Counsel for Aruba and the Individual Defendants

Michael P. Kelly, Esq.
MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
405 N. King Street, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801

Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Company and Aspen Acquisition Sub, Inc.

If you do not object to the Settlement in the manner described above, you waive the right to object (including any right of appeal) and will be forever barred from raising such objection in this or any other action or proceeding. Any member of the Class who does not object to the Settlement or the request by Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses (described below) or to any other matter stated above need not do anything.

Will this Settlement end the lawsuit?

If the Court determines that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class, the parties to the Action will ask the Court to enter the Final Judgment, which will, among other things:

a. approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Class and direct consummation of the Settlement in accordance with its terms and conditions;

b. certify the Class as a non-opt out class pursuant to Delaware Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1) and (b)(2) and designate Plaintiffs Nina Ballester, Ernest Liberti, Milton Bruce Williams, New Jersey Building Laborers Statewide Welfare Fund, Mohan Maturi, Michael Adams, Zachary Watts, and Harold Litwin in the Action as the class representatives with Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel Levi & Korsinsky LLP and Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP as Class Counsel;

c. determine that the requirements of the rules of the Court and due process have been satisfied in connection with this Notice;

d. dismiss the Action with prejudice on the merits and grant the releases more fully described below;

e. permanently bar and enjoin Plaintiffs and all members of the Class from instituting, commencing, or prosecuting any of the Settled Claims against any of the Released Parties (as defined below);

f. enjoin Defendants from ever asserting, prosecuting or otherwise pursuing any Settled Defendants' Claims in any forum or before any authority whatsoever; and

g. award attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel.

What am I giving up as part of the Settlement?

As part of the Settlement, Plaintiffs and the Class agree to release certain claims against Defendants. That means the members of the Class cannot sue Defendants for the claims that were made or could have been made in this Action ever again, even if new facts are later discovered about these claims. The release language as stated in the Stipulation provides as follows:

The Stipulation provides that upon Final Court Approval of the Settlement, the Action shall be dismissed with prejudice and without costs, except as set forth in the Stipulation.

The Stipulation further provides that, upon Final Court Approval of the Settlement by the Court, all Class members (including Plaintiffs) shall be deemed to, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall fully, finally, and forever release, relinquish, and discharge all Settled Claims (as defined below) as against all Released Parties (as defined below).

The Stipulation further provides that, upon Final Court Approval of the Settlement by the Court, Defendants and all other Released Parties shall release all Class Members (including Plaintiffs), and their Counsel from all claims, liabilities, complaints or sanctions, known or unknown, arising out of the investigation, pleading, initiation, prosecution, litigation, settlement and/or resolution of the Action (collectively, the "Settled Defendants' Claims"), *provided, however*, that Defendants and Released Parties shall retain the right to enforce in this Court the terms of the Stipulation or the Settlement, and to oppose or defend any appraisal rights of any Class member. These claims include Unknown Claims as defined below.

"Released Parties" means Defendants and each of their respective predecessors, successors-in-interest, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, representatives, agents, trustees, executors, heirs, spouses, marital communities, assigns or transferees and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of any of them and each of them, and each of their predecessors, successors-in-interest, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, representatives, agents, trustees, executors, heirs, spouses, marital communities, assigns or transferees and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of any of them and each of them (including, without limitation, any financial advisors, investment bankers, accountants, insurers, reinsurers or attorneys and any past or present officers, directors and employee of any of them).

"Settled Claims" means any and all claims (including "Unknown Claims" as defined below), demands, rights, liabilities, and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever, against the Released Parties that have been or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs or any member of the Class in their capacity as stockholders, including class, derivative, individual, or other claims, whether state, federal, or foreign, common law, statutory, or regulatory, including, without limitation, claims under the federal securities laws, arising out of or related to: (i) the allegations contained in the Action, (ii) the Merger, (iii) the Company's Preliminary Proxy, filed on March 17, 2015, the Company's Definitive Proxy, filed on April 3, 2015, or any other disclosures relating to the Merger, or alleged failure to disclose, with or without scienter, material facts to stockholders in connection with the Merger, (iv) the events leading to the Merger, (v) the negotiations in connection with the Merger, (vi) any agreements relating to the Merger, and any compensation or other payments made to any of the Defendants in connection with the Merger, (vii) the merger consideration, (viii) any alleged aiding and abetting of any of the foregoing, and/or (ix) any and all conduct by any of the Defendants or any of the other Released Parties arising out of or relating in any way to the negotiation or execution of the MOU and the Stipulation of Settlement; provided, however, that the Settled Claims shall not include the right of the Plaintiffs or any members of the Class to enforce in the Court the terms of the Stipulation or any claims for appraisal pursuant to 8 *Del. C.* § 262.

"Unknown Claims" means any claim that Plaintiffs or any member of the Class does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of the Settled Claims as against the Released Parties, and any Settled Defendants' Claims (as defined in paragraph 8 of the Stipulation) which any Defendant does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of the Settled Defendants' Claims as against the Plaintiffs, the Class Members, and Plaintiffs' Counsel, including without limitation those which, if known, would or might have affected the decision to enter into the Settlement or whether or how to object to the Settlement. Plaintiffs and the Class Members and Defendants acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Settled Claims and the Settled Defendants' Claims, but Plaintiffs and Defendants upon Final Court Approval shall expressly, fully, finally and forever settle and release, and each Class Member shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released, any and all Settled Claims and Settled Defendants' Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing, including, but not limited to, conduct that is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. With respect to any and all Settled Claims and Settled Defendants' Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that, upon Final Court Approval, Plaintiffs and Defendants shall expressly waive, and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have waived, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have waived, relinquished and released any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by or under Cal. Civ. Code § 1542 or any law of the United States or any state of the United States or territory of the United States, or principle of common law that governs or limits a person's release of unknown claims, including any law or principle of common law that is similar, comparable or equivalent to Cal. Civ. Code § 1542, which provides:

> A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties acknowledge that they understand the significance and consequence of such release and such specific waiver of Cal. Civ. Code § 1542. It is the intention of Plaintiffs and Defendants, and by operation of law, the Class Members, to completely, fully, finally and forever extinguish any and all Settled Claims and Settled Defendants' Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, or heretofore existed, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Parties acknowledge, and the Class Members by operation of law shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of "Settled Claims" and "Settled Defendants'

Claims" was separately bargained for and was a material element of the Settlement and was relied upon by each and all of the Parties in entering into the Stipulation.

How will the attorneys be paid?

If the Court approves the Settlement, Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel will ask the Court for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses (the "Fee Application") in an amount of $387,500. Defendants agreed not to oppose the Fee Application unless it exceeded $387,500. Any fees and expenses awarded by the Court will be paid by Defendants or their insurers; you will not be responsible for any of the fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel. The Fee Application or any fee award may be considered separately from the Settlement, and the Settlement is not contingent on the Fee Application. Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel shall have the right to distribute, in their complete discretion, any fees and expenses awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants shall have no right to object to said distribution.

What should I do if I was a beneficial owner of Aruba stock?

Brokerage firms, banks and/or other persons or entities who held shares of the common stock of Aruba from March 1, 2015 through May 18, 2015 for the benefit of others, are requested to promptly send this Notice to all of their respective beneficial owners. If additional copies of the Notice are needed for forwarding to such beneficial owners, any requests for such copies may be made to:

Aruba Networks, Inc. Stockholder Litigation
Notice Administrator
c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC
P.O. Box 990
Corte Madera, CA 94976-0990
Telephone: 1-877-255-1768
www.arubastockholderlitigation.com

Where can I get more information?

The description of the Action and the Settlement in this Notice is only a summary. More detailed information about the Action and the Settlement is available in the documents that have been filed with the Court. **PLEASE DO NOT WRITE OR CALL THE COURT.**

Questions or comments about the Settlement may be directed to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel as follows:

Donald J. Enright
LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP
1101 30th Street, NW, Suite 115
Washington, DC 20007

Gregory Mark Nespole
WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN HERZ LLP
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016

Dated: July 17, 2015

Register in Chancery

BY ORDER OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY
FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE:

Aruba Networks, Inc. Stockholder Litigation
Notice Administrator
c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC
P.O. Box 990
Corte Madera, CA 94976-0990

Presorted
First-Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Gilardi & Co

IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED.

ARUBA